A STUDY ON EDIBLE OIL MARKET WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO COLD PRESSED OIL



Dr.J.Srilekha

Assistant Professor, Ethiraj College for Women, Chennai

Abstract

The term 'Retailer Study' refers to the sales forecasting and brokerage services for retailers and their developers. The term "Edible Oil" refers to the Cooking oil is purified fat of plant origin, which is usually liquid at room temperature (saturated oils such as coconut and palm are more solid at room temperature than other oils). Some of the many different kinds of edible vegetable oils include: olive oil, palm oil, soybean oil, canola oil, pumpkin seed oil, corn oil, sunflower oil, safflower oil, peanut oil, grape seed oil, sesame oil, and rice bran oil. Many other kinds of vegetable oils are also used for

cooking. The study is conducted keeping in mind to know the cold pressed oil market penetration, to find the trend in edible oil retail market and to know retailers. The objective of the study is to find the perception about various factors with regards to oil retailing. This study also tries to suggest area of improvement from the view point of marketing. To find the level of retail penetration cold pressed oil in TamilNadu. This study relied on the response from oil retailers. The Samples (retailers) were selected from TamilNadu.

Key words: Cold Pressed Oil, Branding, Customers

Introduction

The term 'Retailer Study' refers to the sales forecasting and brokerage services for retailers and their developers. The term "Edible Oil" refers to the Cooking oil is purified fat of plant origin, which is usually liquid at room temperature (saturated oils such as coconut and palm are more solid at room temperature than other oils). Some of the many different kinds of edible vegetable oils include: olive oil, palm oil, soybean oil, canola oil, pumpkin seed oil, corn oil, sunflower oil, safflower oil, peanut oil, grape seed oil, sesame oil, and rice bran oil. Many other kinds of vegetable oils are also used for cooking. The study is conducted keeping in mind to know the cold pressed oil market penetration, to find the trend in edible oil retail market and to know retailers

Objectives and Scope of the Study

- The perception about various factors with regards to oil retailing.
- This study also tries to suggest area of improvement from the view point of marketing.
- To find the level of retail penetration cold pressed oil in TamilNadu
- To find the pattern of sales of different oil brand at retail level.
- To test the difference in opinion on the factors influence customers in selecting a quality and packing.

Research Methodology and Design Research Design

This study relied on the response from oil retailers. Samples were met face to face to get the required data. The research approach used is survey method. The survey was conducted through personal interview, where the respondents were met in person.

ISSN: 2321 - 4643

Research instrument

The instrument used for data collection was a questionnaire. The questionnaire prepared was structured, with open and close ended question.

Sampling Procedures

The Samples (retailers) were selected from TamilNadu. The Sample size for this study was 300 respondents and sampling method followed in this research was non-probability convenience sampling. For data analysis the researcher made used the following tools. a. Percentage Method b. Weighted average method c.Rank Correlation

d. Cross Tabulation

Questionnaire Design

The structured questionnaires that were framed and designed consist of close ended, open ended, rating and raking questions. Related operations that are performed with the purpose of summarizing the collected data and organizing them in such a manner that they answer the research questions.

Table 1 Type of Edible Oil Retailing Shops

| S.No. | Type of | No. of |
|-------|--------------------|-------------|
| 3.NO. | Retailing Shops | Respondents |
| 1 | Oil Store | 46 |
| 2 | Provision Store | 164 |
| 3 | Departmental Store | 64 |
| 4 | Supermarket | 26 |
| | | 300 |

Inference

55% of the respondents to the survey were Provision Store Retailers. 21% of the respondents were retailing through Departmental Store. In the remaining 25%, 15% of the respondents were Oil Store Retailers and 9% of the respondents were Supermarket Retailers.

Table 2 Types of Brands & Their Ranking

| | | RAN | IK 1 | RAN | IK 2 | RAN | IK 3 | RAN | K 4 | RAN | IK 5 | RAN | IK 6 | RAN | IK 7 | RAN | K 8 |
|-------|------------------|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|------|--------------------|------|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|------|--------------------|------|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|-----|
| S.No. | Factors | No. of respondants | % | No. of respondants | % | No. of respondants | % | No. of respondants | % | No. of respondants | % | No. of respondants | % | No. of respondants | % | No. of respondants | % |
| 1 | SVS | 24 | 8 | 70 | 23 | 100 | 33 | 44 | 15 | 20 | 7 | 18 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 16 | 5 |
| 2 | Sundrop | 28 | 9 | 44 | 15 | 62 | 21 | 38 | 13 | 40 | 13 | 12 | 4 | 66 | 22 | 10 | 3 |
| 3 | Gold Winner | 68 | 24 | 124 | 41 | 40 | 13 | 22 | 7 | 16 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| 4 | VVS | 22 | 7 | 26 | 9 | 32 | 11 | 60 | 19 | 124 | 42 | 12 | 4 | 16 | 5 | 8 | 3 |
| 5 | Suffola | 42 | 17 | 18 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 44 | 15 | 38 | 13 | 62 | 21 | 40 | 13 | 30 | 10 |
| 6 | Idhayam | 18 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 20 | 7 | 34 | 11 | 30 | 10 | 70 | 23 | 96 | 32 | 24 | 8 |
| 7 | Sunola | 48 | 16 | 6 | 2 | 14 | 5 | 44 | 15 | 22 | 7 | 50 | 17 | 30 | 10 | 86 | 29 |
| 8 | Cold pressed oil | 40 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 16 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 66 | 22 | 30 | 10 | 120 | 40 |
| | | 300 | | 300 | | 300 | | 300 | | 300 | | 300 | | 300 | | 300 | |

Inference: 24 % of respondents feel, Gold Winner has been the most edible oil brand in the market.

International Conference on Emerging Trends in Management ICETM 2018

Table 3 Factors of Selecting the Brands & Their Ranking

| | | RAN | RANK 1 | | | RAN | K 3 | (3 RANK 4 | | RANK 5 | | RAN 6 | |
|-------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------|-----------------------|----|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|----|-----------------------|----|-----------------------|----|
| S.No. | Factors | No. of Respondants | % | No. of Respondants | % | No. of Respondants | % | No. of Respondants | % | No. of Respondants | % | No. of Respondants | % |
| 1 | Health | 90 | 31 | 80 | 28 | 60 | 20 | 14 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 40 | 13 |
| 2 | Price | 42 | 14 | 52 | 17 | 70 | 23 | 56 | 19 | 36 | 12 | 44 | 15 |
| 3 | Quality | 28 | 9 | 34 | 11 | 90 | 31 | 58 | 19 | 50 | 17 | 40 | 13 |
| 4 | Packing | 70 | 23 | 64 | 21 | 10 | 3 | 38 | 13 | 60 | 20 | 58 | 19 |
| 5 | Advertisement | 60 | 20 | 46 | 15 | 42 | 14 | 48 | 16 | 88 | 29 | 16 | 5 |
| 6 | Available bottels | 10 | 3 | 24 | 8 | 28 | 9 | 86 | 28 | 50 | 17 | 102 | 35 |
| | | 300 | | 300 | | 300 | | 300 | | 300 | | 300 | |

Inference: 31 % of respondents feel, Health is the most preferable factor for selecting the brand.

Table 4 Retailers of Cold Pressed Oil

| S.No. | Retailers dealing with cold pressed oil | No. of Respondents |
|-------|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Yes | 137 |
| 2 | No | 163 |
| | Total | 300 |

Inference

89% of the retailers are currently dealing with Cold Pressed Oil, 11% of the retailers are not dealing with Cold Pressed Oil.

Table 5 Average Weekly Sales of Cold Pressed Oil

| | 3 , . | _ |
|--------|-------------------------|-------------|
| S.No. | Average weekly sales of | No. of |
| 3.110. | Cold pressed Oil | Respondents |
| 1 | 1 litre | 244 |
| 2 | 2 litres | 34 |
| 3 | 5 litres | 22 |
| | Total | 300 |

Inference

60% of the retailers have said that 1 litre Cold pressed Oil packs is high in weekly sales. Followed by 1 litre, 2 litre and 5 litre packs.

Statistical Tests

Rank Correlation

To test the difference in opinion on the factors influence customers in selecting a Quality and Packing.

| | | RANK 1 | RANK 2 | RANK 3 | RANK 4 | RANK 5 | RANK 6 | |
|-------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| S.No. | Ranks | No. of Respondents | Total |
| 1 | R1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 300 |
| | Health | 90 | 80 | 60 | 14 | 16 | 40 | |
| 2 | R2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 300 |
| | Price | 42 | 52 | 70 | 56 | 36 | 44 | |
| 3 | R3 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 300 |
| | Quality | 28 | 34 | 90 | 58 | 50 | 40 | |
| 4 | R4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 300 |
| | Packing | 70 | 64 | 10 | 38 | 60 | 58 | |
| 5 | R5 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 300 |
| | Advertisement | 60 | 46 | 42 | 48 | 88 | 16 | |
| 6 | R6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 300 |
| | Available Bottels | 10 | 24 | 28 | 86 | 50 | 102 | |
| | Total | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | |

Rank Correlation between Health and Packing

Null Hypothesis (H₀): There is no correlation between the factor of health and packing with customer selecting of branding.

Alternative Hypothesis (H₁): There is a correlation between the factor of health and packing with customer selection of branding.

| R_1 | R_4 | $R_1-R_4=d$ | d ² |
|-------|-------|-------------|-------------------|
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 6 | -3 | 9 |
| 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | $\Sigma d^2 = 14$ |

$$r_{p} = 1 - \frac{6 \sum d^{2}}{n(n^{2} - 1)}$$

$$R_{1} = \text{Rank given to Health}$$

 R_4 = Rank given to Packing

 r_p = Spearman's Rank Correlation

n = No. of Observation

Decision

For n=6 the table value of Spearman's Rank Correlation efficient 6% level of significant is 0.9000. The computed value of coefficient is 0.6 H0 is accepted.

Inference

Hence there is no correlation between the factors of Health and Packing towards the customer selection of branding. This implies that Quality do not have any bearing packing.

Rank Correlation

To test the difference between the factors towards the COLD PRESSED OIL and SUNALO.

| Ranks | Rank | Total |
|---------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Brands | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total |
| R1 SVS | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 300 |
| | 24 | 70 | 100 | 44 | 20 | 18 | 8 | 16 | |
| R2 Sundrop | 6 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 300 |
| | 28 | 44 | 62 | 38 | 40 | 12 | 66 | 10 | |
| R3 Gold Winner | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 300 |
| | 68 | 124 | 40 | 22 | 16 | 10 | 14 | 6 | |
| R4 VVS | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 300 |
| | 22 | 26 | 32 | 60 | 124 | 12 | 16 | 8 | |
| R5 Saffola | 2 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 300 |
| | 52 | 18 | 16 | 44 | 38 | 62 | 40 | 30 | |
| R6 Idhayam | 7 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 300 |
| | 18 | 8 | 20 | 34 | 30 | 70 | 96 | 24 | |
| R7 Sunola | 3 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 300 |
| | 48 | 6 | 14 | 44 | 22 | 50 | 30 | 86 | |
| R8 Cold pressed oil | 3 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 300 |
| | 40 | 4 | 16 | 14 | 10 | 66 | 30 | 120 | |
| Total | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | |

Rank Correlation between Cold pressed oil & Sunola

Null Hypothesis (H_o): There is no correlation between the factors of sunola and Cold pressed oil according to their sales.

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1) : There is a correlation between the factors of cold pressed oil and sunshudh brands according to their sales.

International Conference on Emerging Trends in Management ICETM 2018

| R_7 | R ₈ | $R_7-R_8=d$ | ď² |
|-------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| 4 | 6 | -2 | 4 |
| 6 | 7 | -1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | $\sum d^2 = 10$ |

$$r_{\rho} = 1 - \frac{6 \sum d^{2}}{n(n^{2} - 1)}$$

$$R_{7} = \text{Rank given to Sunola}$$

$$R_{8} = \text{Rank given to Cold pressed oil}$$

$$r_{\rho} = \text{Spearman's Rank Correlation}$$

$$n = \text{No. of Observation}$$

d = Deviation

Decision

For n=8 the table value of Spearman's Rank Correlation efficient 8% level of significant is 0.9000. The computed value of coefficient is 0.8 H0 is accepted.

Inference

Hence there is no correlation between the factors of SUNOLA and COLD PRESSED OIL towards the branding according to their sales.

Cross Tabulation

To test the Type of Retailers and Frequently Moving Packages

| Type of Retailers | 1 Liters | 2 liters | 5 Liters | Total |
|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| Oil Store | 60 | 14 | 6 | 80 |
| Provision Store | 134 | 10 | 18 | 162 |
| Departmental Store | 22 | 8 | 2 | 32 |
| Super Markets | 24 | 2 | 0 | 26 |
| Total | 240 | 34 | 26 | 300 |

Decision

According to the cross tabulation across type of retailer and frequently moving packages, in provisional store 1 Liters Packages is the fastest moving pack. The least moving

package in the market is 5 liters at super market.

Kolmogorov Simrnov D-Test

Null Hypothesis (H_o): There is no significant difference between the satisfaction level towards the pay received and their experience.

Alternative Hypothesis (H₁): There is a significant difference between the satisfaction level towards the pay received and their experience.

| 0 | CF | F(0) | Ε | CF | F(E) | F(O) - F(E) | |
|------|-------|--------|------|-------|--------|-------------|--------|
| 3.89 | 3.89 | 0.3886 | 3.34 | 3.34 | 0.3336 | 0.0550 | D(max) |
| 3.21 | 7.10 | 0.7092 | 3.34 | 6.68 | 0.6673 | 0.0419 | |
| 2.91 | 10.01 | 1 | 3.34 | 10.01 | 1 | 0 | |

Calculated value = 0.0550 Table value at 5% level of significance = 1.36 / \sqrt{n} = 1.36 / $\sqrt{300}$

Decision

Calculated value is less than the table value, which significally proves that the null hypothesis (n_0) is accepted and alternative hypothesis (n_1) is rejected.

Inference

There is no significant difference between the factors and the period they have been dealing with cold pressed oil this implies that the level towards the factors and the period doesn't have any impact.

ISSN: 2321 - 4643

Findings

- 55% of the respondents were Provision Store Retailers.
- Only13% of the respondents say Cold pressed oil has been the most edible oil brand in the market.
- 24 % of respondents feel, Gold Winner has been the most edible oil brand in the market.
- 31 % of respondents feel, Health is the most preferable factor for selecting the brand.
- 89% of the retailers are currently dealing with Cold Pressed oil.
- 84% of the retailers are dealing with Cold Pressed oil for more than 1 year.
- 56% of retailers feel that the fastest moving pack in Cold pressed oil is 1 Litre package.
- 60% of the retailers have said that 1 litre cold pressed oil packs is high in weekly sales.
- 52% of the respondents say that, the reason for not dealing with Cold pressed oil is the Manufacturer yet to approach.

Suggestions and Recommendations

- Focus towards the Super market retail shop to be increased.
- The Manufacturer should concentrate more on packaging of the product.
- Advertising support through displays could be given to retailers.
- Profit margin to retailers must be increased.
- Profit margin to retailers must be increased.
- Approach by the manufacturer has to be initiated more in the market
- Health and Quality was felt well by the retailers. It can be improved or maintained at the same level.

Conclusion

"Survival of the fittest" is one line to describe today's business environment .even market leaders, is not aware of the going trends in the market, at one stage would go down. In retailer's perspective, consumers are satisfied with Cold pressed oil price and quality. The Market is now in growth stage. In midst of cut-throat competition the manufacturer still maintains its market position and Quality. But still the manufacturer prosperous to increase their market they have to concentrate on their package, margin, advertisement and they should make the product availability in wide ran

References

- 1. AVN Murthy and M. N. Sudhir, (2016). Marketing Scenario of Edible Oil in India (Marketing Strength of Edible Oil in Andhra Pradesh), International Journal of Business and General Management, Vol. 5, No. 1.
- 2. Thiyagraj V. (2015). Current Trends In Consumer Preferences of Edible Coconut Oil And Sunflower Oil Brands - A New Look In Tirrupur City, Global General For Research Analysis, Vol. 4, No. 5.
- 3. W. K. Sarwade, (2011). Brand Preferences and Consumption Pattern of Edible Oil in Maharashtra), International Conference on Economics and Finance Research, Vol. 4.
- 4. Gaur & KA Waheed (Dr.)" (2002) Study of buying behaviour of branded edible oils ",Indian Journal of Marketing, volume xxxii, No. 7, July, p-48